

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/760,483	SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Ms. Darleen J. Stockley, Attorney.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2006

Time: 3:45 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13, 14, 15, 22, 23 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Stockley agreed to amend claims 13-15 and 22-24 to avoid rejection under 35 USC 112. For the details of the agreement see Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)